Constructing warm inflationary model in finite temperature BIon

M. R. Setare [1*], A. Sepehri [2†],

[1] *Department of Science, Campus of Bijar, University of Kurdistan, Bijar, Iran.*

[2] *Faculty of Physics, Shahid Bahonar University, P.O. Box 76175, Kerman, Iran.*

arXiv:1410.2552v1 [physics.gen-ph] 7 Oct 2014

We study warm inflationary universe model on the BIon in thermal background. The BIon is a configuration in flat space of a D-brane and a parallel anti-D-brane connected by a wormhole with F-string charge. When the branes and antibranes are well separated and the brane's spike is far from the antibrane's spike, wormhole isn't formed however when two branes are close to each other, they can be connected by a wormhole. In this condition, there exists many channels for flowing energy from extra dimensions into our universe and inflation may naturally occur in a warm region. We present a model that allows all cosmological parameters like the scale factor a, the Hubble parameter H and universe energy density depend on the shape function and temperature of wormhole in transverse dimension between two branes. In our model, the expansion of 4D universe is controlled by the thermal wormhole between branes and ends up in Big-Rip singularity. We show that at this singularity, universe would be destroyed and one black F-string formed. Finally, we test our model against WMAP, Planck and BICEP2 data and obtain the ripping time. According to experimental data, $N \simeq 50$ case leads to $n_s \simeq 0.96$, where N and n_s are the number e-folds and the spectral index respectively. This standard case may be found in $0.01 < R_{Tensor-scalar} < 0.3$, where $R_{Tensor-scalar}$ is the tensor-scalar ratio. At this point, the finite time that Big Rip singularity occurs is $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$ for WMAP and Planck data and $t_{rip} = 27.5(Gyr)$ for BICEP2 data. Comparing this time with the time of Big Rip in brane-antibrane, we find that the wormhole in BIonic system accelerates the destruction of the universe.

* rezakord@ipm.ir

† alireza.sepehri@uk.ac.ir

I. INTRODUCTION

About 20 years ago, Berera has presented a new inflationary model in which thermal equilibrium is maintained during the inflation stage. The result of this warm inflation depends on the presence of a first order phase transition. In such a case, below T_c, there is a temperature barrier which separates the symmetry broken and unbroken phases. Near T_c, the region from the top of the temperature barrier to the symmetry broken minima is somewhat flat. Furthermore, beyond the inflection point, where the curvature is positive, thermal fluctuations of the inflaton are damped. It appears that these two conditions can support a slow-roll solution for unexceptional values of the coupling constant, provided that there is also a dissipative component of sufficient size in the inflatons equation of motion [1]. Until now, many discussions have been done on the warm inflationary model of universe [2–6]. For example, one paper described the motivation for dissipation and fluctuation during inflation and then examined their origins from first principles quantum field theory treatments of inflation models. It also considered tests for detecting observational signatures of dissipative processes. In addition it is discussed how particle physics and cosmology are now working in tandem to push the boundaries of our knowledge about fundamental physics [2]. In another work, the microscopic quantum field theory origins and the basics of thermal field theory required in the study of warm inflation dynamics were reviewed. In this research, the dissipation and stochastic fluctuations were derived of dissipation coefficients for a variety of quantum field theory interaction structures relevant to warm inflation, in a form that can readily be used by model builders [3]. In another scenario, some authors have shown that the presence of a thermal bath warmer than the Hubble scale during inflation decreases the tensor-to-scalar ratio with respect to the conventional prediction in supercooled inflation, yielding $r < 8|n_t|$, where n_t is the tensor spectral index. Focusing on supersymmetric models at low temperatures, they have determined consistency relations between the observables characterizing the spectrum of adiabatic scalar and tensor modes, both for generic potentials and particular canonical examples, and which they have compared with the WMAP and Planck results [4]. Also, some investigators have discussed that the presence of even small dissipative effects at the time when observable scales leave the horizon during inflation may have a significant effect on the spectrum of primordial fluctuations in the warm regime, for T and H. This generically lowers the tensor-to-scalar ratio and yields a modified consis-

tency relation for warm inflation that may be used to distinguish it in a model-independent way from the standard supercooled scenarios if a tensor component is found and accurately measured [5]. Some other authors have shown that, in constructions with additional intersecting D-branes, brane-antibrane inflation may naturally occur in a warm regime, such that strong dissipative effects damp the inflatons motion. They illustrate this for D3-$\overline{D3}$ inflation in flat space with additional flavor D7-branes, where for a Coulomb-like or quadratic hybrid potential a sufficient number of e-folds may be obtained for perturbative couplings and O(10-10^4) branes. This is in clear contrast with the corresponding cold scenarios [6].

Newly, we considered the origin of warm inflationary model in the context of brane-antibrane system in Ref.[7]; however we ignored the role of spikes and wormhole. It might be reasonable to ignore the wormhole in the ultraviolet where the branes and antibranes are well separated and the brane's spike is far from the antibrane's spike, it is likely that one BIon forms and grows where the spikes of brane and antibrane meet each other [8, 9]. In this condition, there exists many channels for flowing energy from extra dimensions into our universe, dominating all other forms of energy, such that the gravitational repulsion and bringing our brief epoch of universe to an end in the Big Rip singularity[10]. We show that at this singularity, universe would be destroyed and one black f-string formed. The finite time that this singularity in BIonic system occurs is much earlier than the brane-antibrane system.

The outline of the paper is as the following. In section II, we construct warm inflationary model of universe in BIonic system and show that all cosmological parameters depend on the wormhole's parameters between two branes. Also, in this section, we consider Big Rip singularity and calculate the relation between ripping time and the size of wormhole in extra dimension. In section III, we test our model against the observational data from Planck and WMAP collaborations and obtain the ripping time. In section IV, we consider the signature of finite temperature BIon in BICEP2 results. The last section is devoted to summary and conclusion.

II. THE WARM INFLATIONARY MODEL OF UNIVERSE IN BIONIC SYSTEM

In this section, we will consider the role of wormholes in warm inflationary model of universe and show that they are the main causes of Big Rip singularity and destruction of universe. To this end, we will construct four dimensional universe in thermal BIon and discuss that all cosmological parameters depend on the temperature of BIon, number of branes and the ripping time.

To describe the BIon we specialize to an embedding of the D3-brane world volume in 10D Minkowski space-time with metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} dx_i^2. \tag{1}$$

without background fluxes. Choosing the world volume coordinates of the D3-brane as $\{\sigma^a, a = 0..3\}$ and defining $\tau = \sigma^0$, $\sigma = \sigma^1$ the embedding of the three-brane is given by [8, 9]:

$$t(\sigma^a) = \tau,\ r(\sigma^a) = \sigma,\ x_1(\sigma^a) = z(\sigma),\ \theta(\sigma^a) = \sigma^2,\ \phi(\sigma^a) = \sigma^3 \tag{2}$$

and the remaining coordinates $x_{i=2,..6}$ are constant. There is thus one non-trivial embedding function $z(\sigma)$ that describes the bending of the brane. Let z be a transverse coordinate to the branes and σ be the radius on the world-volume. The case of the flat branes is thus $z(\sigma) = 0$. The induced metric on the brane is then:

$$\gamma_{ab}d\sigma^a d\sigma^b = -d\tau^2 + (1 + z'(\sigma)^2)d\sigma^2 + \sigma^2(d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{3}$$

so that the spatial volume element is $dV_3 = \sqrt{1 + z'(\sigma)^2}\sigma^2 d\Omega_2$. We impose the two boundary conditions that $z(\sigma) \to 0$ for $\sigma \to \infty$ and $z'(\sigma) \to -\infty$ for $\sigma \to \sigma_0$, where σ_0 is the minimal two-sphere radius of the configuration. Putting now k units of F-string charge along the radial direction we obtain [8, 9]:

$$z(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} d\acute{\sigma}(\frac{F(\acute{\sigma})^2}{F(\sigma_0)^2} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4}$$

In finite temperature BIon $F(\sigma)$ is given by

$$F(\sigma) = \sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha - 3}{cosh^4\alpha} \tag{5}$$

where $cosh\alpha$ is determined by following function:

$$cosh^2\alpha = \frac{3}{2}\frac{cos\frac{\delta}{3} + \sqrt{3}sin\frac{\delta}{3}}{cos\delta} \tag{6}$$

with the definitions:

$$cos\delta \equiv \overline{T}^4\sqrt{1+\frac{k^2}{\sigma^4}},\ \overline{T} \equiv (\frac{9\pi^2 N}{4\sqrt{3}T_{D_3}})T,\ \kappa \equiv \frac{kT_{F1}}{4\pi T_{D_3}} \tag{7}$$

In above equation, T is the finite temperature of BIon, N is the number of D3-branes and T_{D_3} and T_{F1} are tensions of brane and fundamental strings respectively. Attaching a mirror solution to Eq. (4), we construct wormhole configuration. The separation distance $\Delta = 2z(\sigma_0)$ between the N D3-branes and N anti D3-branes for a given brane-antibrane wormhole configuration defined by the four parameters N, k, T and σ_0. We have:

$$\Delta = 2z(\sigma_0) = 2\int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} d\acute{\sigma}(\frac{F(\acute{\sigma})^2}{F(\sigma_0)^2} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8}$$

In in the limit of small temperatures, we obtain:

$$\Delta = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(5/4)}{\Gamma(3/4)}\sigma_0(1 + \frac{8}{27}\frac{k^2}{\sigma_0^4}\overline{T}^8) \tag{9}$$

Let us now discuss the warm inflationary model of universe in thermal BIon. For this, we need to compute the contribution of the BIonic system to the four- dimensional universe energy momentum tensor. We now turn to obtaining the EM tensor for N D3-branes with an electric field on, corresponding to k units of electric flux, at non-zero temperature. We can obtain this from a black D3-F1 brane bound state geometry assuming that we are in the regime of large N and g_sN. We get, [8],

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{00} &= \frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma) - F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^4\alpha} \\
T^{ii} &= -\gamma^{ii}\frac{8T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma) - F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha},\ i = 1,2,3 \\
T^{44} &= \frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{F(\sigma_0)}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^4\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This equation shows that with increasing temperature in BIonic system, the energy-momentum tensors increase. This is because that when spikes of branes and antibranes are well separated, wormhole isn't formed and there isn't any channel for flowing energy from extra dimensions to our universe, however when two branes are close to each other and connected by a wormhole, temperature achieves to large values.

The conservation law of energy-momentum relates the tensors calculated in brane-antibrane system with ones associated with the four dimensional universe with the following equation:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-detg}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{MN}} \frac{\delta g_{MN}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = T_{MN} \frac{\delta g_{MN}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}, \tag{11}$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of 4D universe in ten dimensional space-time with the metric of the form:

$$ds^2 = ds^2_{Uni,1} + ds^2_{Uni,2} + ds^2_{transverse}, \tag{12}$$

Here

$$ds^2_{Uni1} = ds^2_{Uni2} = -dt^2 + a(t)^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \tag{13}$$

and

$$ds^2_{transverse} = dw^2 + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{14}$$

where w and ϕ are the extra space-like coordinates perpendicular to two universes, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and scale factor $a(t)$ is assumed to be functions of time only. In this model, we introduce two four dimensional universe that interact with each other and form a binary system. To connect these universes, we embed one wormhole into transverse space so that the embedded surface has equation [11, 12]:

$$w' = \frac{dw}{dr} = \pm(\frac{r}{b(r)} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}$$

where b(r) is the shape function of wormhole. In this condition, the metric of wormhole can be written as [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{wormhole} &= ds^2_{transverse} + dr^2 \\ &= dw^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= [1 + (\frac{dw}{dr})^2] dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= \frac{dr^2}{1 - b(r)/r} + r^2 d\phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This equation helps us to write:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= ds^2_{Uni,1} + ds^2_{Uni,2} + ds^2_{wormhole} - dr^2 \\ &= d\bar{s}^2_{Uni,1} + d\bar{s}^2_{Uni,2} + ds^2_{wormhole} \\ d\bar{s}^2_{Uni1} &= d\bar{s}^2_{Uni2} = -dt^2 + \tilde{a}(t)^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where we have defined new scale factor $(\tilde{a})^2 = a^2 - 1/2$. Using the similarity between wormholes in BIonic and universe systems and also, similarity between Eq. (15) and Eq. (4), we can obtain the explicit form of b(r):

$$b = \frac{rG(r_0)}{G(r)} \tag{18}$$

where r_0 is the location of throat and

$$G(r) = r^2 \frac{4cosh^2\alpha - 3}{cosh^4\alpha} \tag{19}$$

We also can rewrite Eq. (16) as following:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{wormhole} &= [1 + (\frac{dr}{dw})^2]dw^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= c^2(r)dw^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= [\frac{G^2(r_0) - G^2(r)}{G^2(r_0)}]dw^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= c^2 dw^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

To obtain the energy- momentum tensor in this system, we use of the Einstein's field equation in presence of fluid flow that reads as:

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{g}_{ij}R = kT_{ij}. \tag{21}$$

Setting the solution of this equation with the line element of Eq. (17) in the conservation law of energy-momentum tensor in Eq. (10), employing Eq. (11) and assuming $\dot{c} = \frac{dc}{dr}\frac{dr}{dt}$ yield:

$$\begin{aligned} kT^1_1 &= \frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c} + \frac{\ddot{c}}{c} \\ &= \frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r) - G^2(r_0)}} r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi T^4}\frac{G'(r)G(r_0)}{G^2(r) - G^2(r_0)} r^2(\dot{r})^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha} \\ &= -\gamma^{11}\frac{8T^2_{D3}}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma) - F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}, \\ kT^2_2 &= \frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c} + \frac{\ddot{c}}{c} \\ &= \frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r) - G^2(r_0)}} r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{\pi T^4}\frac{G'(r)G(r_0)}{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}r^2(\dot{r})^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}\\
&=-\gamma^{22}\frac{8T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma)-F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha},\\
kT_3^3&=\frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}}+\frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c}+\frac{\ddot{c}}{c}\\
&=\frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}}+\frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}}r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}\\
&+\frac{1}{\pi T^4}\frac{G'(r)G(r_0)}{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}r^2(\dot{r})^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}\\
&=-\gamma^{33}\frac{8T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma)-F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha},\\
kT_4^4&=\frac{6\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}}+\frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}\\
&=\frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{F(\sigma_0)}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha+1}{cosh^4\alpha},\\
kT_{10}^{10}&=\frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c}\\
&=\frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4 G(r_0)}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}}r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}\\
&=\frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4 F(\sigma_0)}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma)-F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha+1}{cosh^4\alpha}
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

The higher-dimensional stress-energy tensor will be assumed to be that of a perfect fluid and of the form:

$$
T_i^j=\text{diag}\left[-p,-p,-p,-\bar{p},-p,-p,-p,\rho\right],
\tag{23}
$$

where $\bar{p}$ is the pressure in the extra space-like dimension. In above equation, we are allowing the pressure in the extra dimension to be different, in general, from the pressure in the 3D space. Hence, this stress-energy tensor describes a homogeneous, anisotropic perfect fluid in ten dimensions. By adopting the metric ansatzs in (12) and (17), the conservation law in (11) and (22) and the perfect fluid stress-energy tensor in (23), the field equations are of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-p&=\frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}}+\frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c}+\frac{\ddot{c}}{c}\\
&=\frac{5\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}}+\frac{3\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}+\frac{5\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}}r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}\\
&+\frac{1}{\pi T^4}\frac{G'(r)G(r_0)}{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}r^2(\dot{r})^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= -\gamma^{11}\frac{8T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma)-F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha} \tag{24}$$

$$-\bar{p} = \frac{6\ddot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2}$$

$$= -\frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{F(\sigma_0)}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha+1}{cosh^4\alpha} \tag{25}$$

$$\rho = \frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}\dot{c}}{\tilde{a}c}$$

$$= \frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}^2}{\tilde{a}^2} + \frac{6\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}\pi T^4}\frac{G(r)}{\sqrt{G^2(r)-G^2(r_0)}}r^2\dot{r}\frac{1}{cosh^2\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma)-F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha+1}{cosh^4\alpha} \tag{26}$$

where we set the higher-dimensional coupling constant equal to one, k = 1. The equations (24, 25, 26) help us to explain all properties of the current universe in terms of evolutions in BIonic system. These equations constraint the pressure and density in our universe to wormhole and express that any increase or decrease in these parameters is due to wormhole evolution in extra dimension.

We are now at a stage that can enter the properties of warm inflation into calculations. In this model, the F-string flux plays the role of inflaton in extra dimension. To get the appropriate F-string flux on the brane we turn on the world-volume vector field strength component F_{01}, where $F_{01} = \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x_0}$. Thus, in four dimensional universe, inflaton is a vector field and can be introduced by $B_i = \frac{A_i}{a}$, where a is the scale factor of universe. One inflationary model of non-minimally coupled vector fields in an isotropic and homogeneous universe (FRW) was presented in [13]. Vector fields in this scenario behave in the same way as a minimally coupled scalar field. A triplet of mutually orthogonal vector fields B_i^a are introduced in [14]. This vector fields are constrained by orthogonality:

$$\sum B_i^a B_i^b = |B|^2\delta_a^b. \tag{27}$$

and

$$\sum B_i^a B_j^b = |B|^2\delta_i^j. \tag{28}$$

Using these fields and following calculations in [7], the dynamic of warm inflation in spatially flat ten dimensional space-time is presented by this equation:

$$\dot{\rho} + 6H(\rho+p) + \bar{H}(\rho+\bar{p}) = -\Gamma\dot{B}^2. \tag{29}$$

Also, with similar calculations we can obtain:

$$\dot{\rho}_\gamma + (8H + \bar{H})\rho_\lambda = \Gamma \dot{B}^2. \tag{30}$$

where ρ_λ is energy density of the radiation, $H = \frac{\dot{\tilde{a}}}{a}$, $\overline{H} = \frac{\dot{c}}{c}$ and Γ is the dissipative coefficient. In the above equations dot h.h means derivative with respect to cosmic time. Relating the 3D and higher-dimensional pressures to the density (p $= \omega\rho$, $\bar{p} = \bar{\omega}\rho$) and doing some calculations similar to [7] lead to following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\bar{\omega}^2 - 2\bar{\omega} - 3\bar{\omega}\omega + 4)H^2\dot{H} \\
&+(\bar{\omega}^2 - 6\bar{\omega}\omega + 4)H^4 \\
&+(1 + \bar{\omega})\dot{H}^2 - \bar{\omega}H\ddot{H} = -\Gamma\dot{B}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Solving equations (22 and 31) simultaneously and performing some algebra for old universe, we find a consistent solution for the scale factors, vector inflaton and dissipation coefficient in terms of ripping time:

$$\begin{aligned}
&r = r_0 + t_0 - t \\
&\frac{\dot{\tilde{a}}}{\tilde{a}} = \frac{A}{t_0 - t} \\
&A = \frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)} \frac{(4cosh^2\alpha + 1)^{1/2}}{cosh^2\alpha} \\
&\tilde{a} = (t_0 - t)^A
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 + t_0 - t \\
&c = \frac{4cosh^2\alpha - 3}{G(r_0)cosh^4\alpha}((t_0 - t)^2 + 2r_0(t_0 - t))^{1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma = (\bar{\omega}^2 - 2\bar{\omega} - 3\bar{\omega}\omega + 4)(\frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)} \frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^2\alpha})^3 \\
&+(\bar{\omega}^2 - 6\bar{\omega}\omega + 4)(\frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)} \frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^2\alpha})^4 \\
&+(1 + \bar{\omega})(\frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)} \frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^2\alpha})^2 - \bar{\omega}(\frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)} \frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^2\alpha}) \\
&B = \frac{G^2(r_0)}{G^2(r_0) - G^2(r)} \sim \frac{1}{\Delta} \sim \frac{1}{(t_0 - t)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Equations (32) and (33) indicate that both universe scale factor and wormhole scale factor depend on the finite temperature of BIon and tension of D3-branes. Naturally, with increasing temperature, the energy of system increases and the effect of interaction between branes can be observed in scale factors. Also, this equation shows that if A is smaller than zero, 3D scale factor and Hubble parameter at a certain time (t = t_0=t_{rip}) are infinity. On the other hand, as can be seen from equation (33), the value of the scale factor in transverse direction is zero at $t = t_0$ and as a result Big Rip singularity won't happen in extra dimension.

The equation (34) has some interesting results which can be used to explain the reasons for occurance of Big Rip singularity in present era of universe. According to these calculations, when two branes are located at a large distance from each other ($\Delta = \infty$ and t=0), the vector inflaton and wormhole parameters are almost zero, while approaching the two together, spikes of two branes meet, wormhole is formed and the value of it's parameters increases. In this situation, brane-antibrane system disappears and consequently one singularity happens in our four dimensional universe. Another interesting point that comes out from this equation is that time of this singularity is proportional to the initial distance between two branes.

Also, equation (34) indicates that the dissipative coefficient increases with temperature of BIon and tends to infinity at ripping time. Also, dissipative coefficient is controlled by the wormhole parameters in extra dimension. This means that the warm inflation can be affected by phenomenological events in extra dimension and other universe.

Inserting the solutions in (32 and 33) back into Eq.(30), we obtain the energy density of radiation in terms of ripping time:

$$\rho_\lambda \sim \frac{\Gamma}{(8A+B)(t-t_0)^4} \tag{35}$$

As is obvious from Eq.(35), the energy density of radiation, increases with time and tends to infinity at Big Rip singularity. This is because that with moving two branes towards each other, radiation of inflaton from wormhole grows and acquires the large values near the colliding point. Another interesting result that can be deduce from this equation is that the radiating energy density depends on the temperature of BIon and any enhancement or decrease in this density can be a signature of some interactions between two universes in extra dimension.

Now, the main question arises that what is the fate of universe-wormhole system after the Big Rip singularity? To answer to this question, we consider the matching of finite temperature BIon and black f-string at corresponding point[9]. The supergravity solution for k coincident non-extremal black F-strings lying along the z direction is:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= H^{-1}(-fdt^2 + dz^2) + f^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_7^2 \\ e^{2\phi} &= H^{-1},\ B_0 = H^{-1} - 1, \\ H &= 1 + \frac{r_0^6 sinh^2\alpha}{r^6},\ f = 1 - \frac{r_0^6}{r^6} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

here written in the string frame. From this the mass density along the z direction can be found using Ref.[15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM_{F1}}{dz} &= \frac{3^5 T_{D3}^2(1 + 6cosh^2\alpha)}{2^7\pi^3 T^6 cosh^6\alpha} \\ k^2 &= \frac{3^{12} T_{D3}^4(-1 + cosh^2\alpha)}{2^{12}\pi^6 T_{F1}^2 T^{12} cosh^{10}\alpha},\ T_{F1} = \frac{1}{2\pi l_s^2} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

For small temperatures one can expand the mass density as follows:

$$\frac{dM_{F1}}{dz} = T_{F1}k + \frac{16(T_{F1}k\pi)^{3/2}T^3}{81T_{D3}} + \frac{40T_{F1}^2 k^2\pi^3 T^6}{729T_{D3}^2} \tag{38}$$

On the other hand, for finite temperature BIon, we have [9]:

$$M_{BIon} = \int dV_3 T^{00} = \int dV_3 \frac{2T_{D3}^2}{\pi T^4}\frac{F(\sigma)}{\sqrt{F^2(\sigma) - F^2(\sigma_0)}}\sigma^2\frac{4cosh^2\alpha + 1}{cosh^4\alpha} \tag{39}$$

and as a result, for small temperature, we obtain:

$$\frac{dM_{BIon}}{dz} = T_{F1}k + \frac{3\pi T_{F1}^2 k^2 T^4}{32T_{D3}^2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{7\pi^2 T_{F1}^3 k^3 T^8}{512T_{D3}^4\sigma_0^4} \tag{40}$$

Also, using Eqs. (15), (18), (19) and (22) and doing some calculations, we can obtain the mass density along the w direction:

$$\frac{dM_{universe-wormhole}}{dz} = \frac{dM_{universe-wormhole}}{dw}\frac{dw}{dz} = T_{F1}k + \frac{3\pi k^4 g_s^2 l_s^4 T^4}{2r_0} + \frac{\pi^8 k^6 g_s^3 l_s^6 T^8}{2T_{D3}r_0^2} \tag{41}$$

Comparing the mass densities for BIon and universe-wormhole systems to the mass density for the F-strings, we see that in order for the thermal D3-F1 configuration at $\sigma = \sigma_0$ and universe-wormhole st $r = r_0$ to behave like k F-strings, σ_0 and r_0 should have the following dependence on the temperature:

$$\sigma_0 = (\frac{\sqrt{kT_{F1}}}{T_{D3}})^{1/2}\sqrt{T}[C_0 + C_1\frac{\sqrt{kT_{F1}}}{T_{D3}}T^3] \tag{42}$$

and

$$r_0 = (\frac{2\pi k g_s l_s^{1/2}}{\sqrt{T_{D3}}})T[F_0 + F_1\frac{2\pi k g_s l_s^{1/2}}{\sqrt{T_{D3}}}T^3 + F_2\frac{4\pi^2 k^2 g_s^2 l_s}{T_{D3}}T^6] \tag{43}$$

where $T_{F1} = 4k\pi^2 T_{D3} g_s l_s^2$, C_0, C_1, F_0, F_1 and F_2 are numerical coefficients which can be determined by requiring that the T^3 and T^6 terms in Eqs. (38), (40) and (41) agree. These calculations show that when the wormhole grows, there exist more channels for flowing energy from extra dimension to other four dimensions. Increasing this energy leads to the destruction of universe and the formation of the black f-string.

III. CONSIDERING THE SIGNATURE OF FINITE TEMPERATURE BION IN PLANCK AND WMAP9 DATA

In previous section, we propose a model that allows to consider the warm inflation of universe in BIonic system. Here, we examine the correctness of our model with observation data and obtain some important parameters like ripping time. Using (32), the number of e-folds[16, 17] may be found:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \int_{t_B}^{t} H dt = |A|[ln\frac{t_{rip} - t_B}{t_{rip} - t}] \\ &= \frac{2T_{D3}}{\pi G(r_0)}\frac{(4cosh^2\alpha + 1)^{1/2}}{cosh^2\alpha}[ln\frac{t_{rip} - t_B}{t_{rip} - t}] \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where t_B denotes the beginning time of inflation epoch.

In Fig.1, we present the number of e-folds N for warm scenario as a function of the t where t is the age of universe. In this plot, we choose A=-80, , $T = 2^0 k$, $t_B = 0$ and $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$. We find that N=50 leads to $t_{universe} = 13.5(Gyr)$. This result is compatible with with both Planck and WMAP9 data [18–21]. It is clear that the number of e-folds N is much larger for older universe. This is because, as the age of universe increases, the distance between spikes of branes becomes smaller, wormhole is formed and more energy enters into the universe.

Another parameters that help us to test our model with experimental data are the power-spectrum of scalar and tensor perturbations which presented by [16, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_R^2 &= -(\frac{\Gamma^3 T^2}{36(4\pi)^3})^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\dot{H}} \\ \Delta_T^2 &= \frac{2H^2}{\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$



FIG. 1: The number of e-folds N in warm inflation scenario as a function of t for A=-80, $T = 2^0 k$, $t_B = 0$ and $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$.

Using these parameters, we can define the tensor-scalar ratio as [16, 17]:

$$R = -\frac{\Delta_T^2}{\Delta_R^2} = -(\frac{144(4\pi)^3}{\Gamma^3 \pi^4 T^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{H} H^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{46}$$

In Fig.2, we show the tensor-scalar ratio R for warm scenario as a function of the age of universe. In this plot, we choose A=-80, $t_B = 0$, $T = 2^0 k$, c=.01 and $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$. We find that R=0.01586 leads to $t_{universe} = 13.5(Gyr)$. This result is compatible with both Planck and WMAP9 data [18–21]. Obviously, the tensor-scalar ratio R is much larger for older universe. The reason for this is that when two old brane universes approach together, the size of wormhole increases and it's effect can be observed in observational data.

Finally, we compare our model with the Scalar spectral index which is defined by [16, 17]:

$$n_s - 1 = -\frac{dln\Delta_R^2}{dlnk} = \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon - (\frac{r'}{4r})\eta \tag{47}$$

Here k is co-moving wavenumber, $r = \frac{\Gamma}{3H}$ and ε and η are slow-roll parameters of the warm inflation which are given by:

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{H}\frac{dlnH}{dt}$$



FIG. 2: The tensor-scalar ratio R in warm inflation scenario as a function of t for A=-80, $T = 2^0 k$, $t_B = 0$, C=.01 and $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$.

$$\eta = -\frac{\ddot{H}}{H\dot{H}}, \tag{48}$$

In Fig.3, we show the Scalar spectral index n_s for warm scenario as a function of the age of universe. In this plot, we choose A=-80 and $t_B = 0$ and $T = 2^0 k$. Comparing this figure with figures(2,3), we find that $N \simeq 50$ case leads to $n_s \simeq 0.96$. This standard case is found in $0.01 < R_{Tensor-scalar} < 0.22$, which is consistent with both Planck and WMAP9 data [18–21]. At this point, the finite time that Big Rip singularity occurs is $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$.

IV. CONSIDERING THE SIGNATURE OF FINITE TEMPERATURE BION IN BICEP2 RESULTS

The BICEP2 collaboration [22] has announced recently the detection of primordial B-mode polarization in the cosmic microwave background (CMB), claiming an indirect observation of gravitational waves. Such results from BICEP2 offer a rare opportunity to directly test theoretical models, including inflation. The tensor fluctuations in the cosmic microwave



FIG. 3: The Scalar spectral index n_s in warm inflation scenario as a function of t for A=-80, $T = 2^0 k$, $t_B = 0$ and $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$.

background (CMB) temperatures at large angular scales are larger than those predicted for inflationary models based on Einstein gravity. Specifically, the ratio of tensor-to-scalar perturbations reported by BICEP2 collaboration, $R = 0.2^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ (or $R = 0.16^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ after subtracting an estimated foreground), is larger than the bounds $R < 0.13$ and $R < 0.11$ reported by Planck[18, 19] and WMAP9 data [20, 21]. Fortunately, in our model, all three data sets are justified. This is because that tensor fluctuations depend on the finite temperature and number of branes in BIonic system and can include both smaller quantities of 0.1 and larger quantities of 0.19. From (7), it is seen that for small temperatures, δ is close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, so that

$$cosh^2\alpha = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2cos\delta} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}cos\delta - \frac{2}{27}cos^2\delta \tag{49}$$

This gives for the function A defined in (32) the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{4}{849}cos^4\delta - \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{324}cos^3\delta + (\frac{29}{432})cos^2\delta - (\frac{6\sqrt{3}}{54})cos\delta - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4cos\delta} + \frac{27}{4cos^2\delta} \\ &\simeq z_1(NT)^{16} + z_2(NT)^{12} + z_3(NT)^8 + \\ &z_4(NT)^4 + z_5(NT)^{-4} + z_6(NT)^{-8} \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where z_i are constant coefficients, N is the number of branes and T is the temperature. Also, we can expand the dissipative coefficient in terms of A:

$$\Gamma = g_1 A^4 + g_2 A^3 + g_3 A^2 + g_4 A \tag{51}$$

Using these parameters, we can write the tensor-scalar ratio in terms of NT :

$$\begin{aligned} R &\sim \frac{1}{(d_1 A^{4.5} + d_2 A^3 + d_3 A^{3/2})T} (\frac{1}{t - t_{rip}})^{5/2} \\ &\simeq (p_1 (NT)^{35} + p_2 (NT)^{25} + ..)(\frac{1}{t - t_{rip}})^{5/2} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where p_i are constant coefficients. Equation (52) shows that any increase or decrease in the number of branes and temperature can cause a significant change in the tensor-scalar ratio. For example, if temperature or number of branes is $10^{\frac{1}{70}}$ higher than the value estimated in previous section, the ratio of tensor-to-scalar perturbations would be $R \sim 0.20$ which is in agreement with the value measured by BICEP2 collaboration.

In Fig.4, we show that the tensor-scalar ratio R for warm scenario as a function of the age of universe. In this plot, we choose A=-35, $t_B = 0$, $T = 2^0 k$, $N \sim 10^{2+\frac{1}{70}}$, c=.01 and $t_{rip} = 27.5(Gyr)$. We find that R=0.20 leads to $t_{universe} = 13.5(Gyr)$. This ratio is compatible with BICEP2 data [22]. Thus our result are consistent with all experimental data from WMAP, Planck and BICEP2 collaborations and thus our model works.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this research, we construct warm inflation in thermal BIon and show that the energy density, slow-roll, Number of e-fold and perturbation parameters can be given in terms of the shape function and the location of the throat of the wormhole that connects brane and antibrane in BIonic system. When the branes and antibranes are well separated and the brane's spike is far from the antibrane's spike, the role of wormhole is ignorable, however when the spikes of brane and antibrane meet each other, one wormhole would be formed. According to our results, when the wormhole parameters increase, the Number of e-fold, the spectral index and the tensor-scalar ratio increases and tends to infinity at Big Rip singularity . This is because that as the wormhole grows, the effect of interaction between branes on the 4D universe expansion becomes systematically more effective, because at larger wormholes, there exist more channels for flowing energy from extra dimension to other four



FIG. 4: The tensor-scalar ratio R in warm inflation scenario as a function of t for A=-35, $T = 2^0 k$, $N \sim 10^{2+\frac{1}{70}}$, $t_B = 0$, C=.01 and $t_{rip} = 27.5(Gyr)$.

dimensions. Increasing this energy leads to the destruction of universe and the formation of the black f-string. We find that $N \simeq 50$ case leads to $n_s \simeq 0.96$. This standard case may be found in $0.01 < R_{Tensor-scalar} < 0.3$, which agrees with observational data [18–21]. At this point, the finite time that Big Rip singularity occurs is $t_{rip} = 29(Gyr)$ for WMAP and Planck data and $t_{rip} = 27.5(Gyr)$ for BICEP2 data. This time is much earlier of ripping time in brane-antibrane system in Ref [7].

---

[1] A. Berera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3218 [astro-ph/9509049]; Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 3346 [hep-ph/9612239].

[2] Arjun Berera, Contemporary Physics 47, 33 (2006)

[3] Arjun Berera, Ian G. Moss, Rudnei O. Ramos, Rept.Prog.Phys.72:026901,2009

[4] Sam Bartrum, Arjun Berera, Joao G. Rosa, JCAP06(2013)025

[5] Sam Bartrum, Mar Bastero-Gil, Arjun Berera, Rafael Cerezo, Rudnei O. Ramos, Joao G.

Rosa, Phys. Lett. B 732, 116 (2014).

[6] Mar Bastero-Gil, Arjun Berera, Joao G. Rosa, Phys.Rev. D84 (2011) 103503

[7] M.R.Setare, A.Sepehri, V.Kamali, Phys.Lett. B735 (2014) 84-89.

[8] Gianluca Grignani, Troels Harmark, Andrea Marini, Niels A. Obers, Marta Orselli, JHEP 1106:058,2011.

[9] Gianluca Grignani, Troels Harmark, Andrea Marini, Niels A. Obers, Marta Orselli, Nucl.Phys.B851:462-480,2011.

[10] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 071301 (2003).

[11] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Am. J. Phys. 56, 395 (1988).

[12] Francisco S. N. Lobo, Classical and Quantum Gravity Research, 1-78, (2008), Nova Sci. Pub. ISBN 978-1-60456-366-5.

[13] A. Golovnev, V. Mukhanov, V. Vanchurin, JCAP 0806:009,(2008).

[14] M. C. Bento, O. Bertolami, P. V. Moniz, J. M. Mourao, P. M. Sa, Class. Quant. Grav.10, 285, (1993); C. Armendariz-Picon, JCAP JCAP07(2004)007.

[15] T. Harmark and N. A. Obers, JHEP 05 (2004) 043.

[16] M. R. Setare, V. Kamali, Phys.Lett. B726 (2013) 56-65.

[17] M.R. Setare, V. Kamali, Gen. Relativ. Gravit, (2014) 46, 1642; M.R. Setare, V. Kamali, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 083524.

[18] P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO].

[19] P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]; P. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), Astron.Astrophys. 536, 16464 (2011).

[20] G. Hinshaw, et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 208 (2013) 19.

[21] E. Komatsu, et al., arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]; B. Gold, et al., arXiv:1001.4555 [astro-ph.GA]; D. Larson, et al., arXiv:1001.4635 [astro-ph.CO].

[22] P. A. R. Ade et al. [BICEP2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 241101 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO]].